

Principles of Pharmacoeconomics



**Measuring Patient
Outcomes for Use in
Economic Evaluations**

Introduction

- An economic evaluation looks at all the implications of deciding to choose one way of providing care over another, not just the costs. **This means that any effect to the service, good or bad, has on the patient or customer needs to be investigated .**
- **Benefits, outcomes and consequences refer to the effect on the patient, not the effect on people providing the service. Cost is not an outcome measure.**
- This lecture defines and describes the main categories of outcome measure used: **effectiveness, quality of life, utility.**

1-Effectiveness

- Effectiveness is the **outcome of an intervention or service measured in natural units.** These can be general outcome measures, such as:
 - -Cases successfully **diagnosed.**
 - -Cases successfully **treated.**
 - -Life years **saved.**
- It is also possible to use clinical indicators, such as:
 - -Number of **asthma attacks avoided**
 - -**Pain-free** days
 - -Change in **infection rate**
 - -Percentage **reduction in blood pressure**
 - -Effect on **nausea and vomiting frequency.**

- example, a study of **lipid-lowering therapy in the prevention of coronary heart disease** could use the drop in plasma cholesterol level to assess the effectiveness of this intervention. It is assumed that by **reducing a person's cholesterol level, the risk of developing coronary heart disease is reduced.**

Effectiveness versus efficacy

- **Efficacy is the consequence (benefit) of a treatment under ideal and controlled conditions** (ideal trial study). However, real life does not behave like an ideal trial study. In practice, **different types of patients** from those in the trial may receive the intervention.
- **Effectiveness is the therapeutic consequence of a treatment in real-world conditions.** The *effectiveness of a treatment or service is often lower than its efficacy*, and so using information from an ideal clinical trial may **overestimate** the impact of the intervention.

Mortality used as an effectiveness measure

- Mortality has been used to measure the effectiveness of treatments in patients. Examples of this are the studies looking at the use of **aspirin after myocardial infarction**, **lipid-lowering agents in coronary heart disease**, and the **treatment of hypertension in patients with diabetes**. Mortality is a useful outcome measure because it is **objective** and easy to measure.

- However, there are **problems** associated with it.
- **People may die from causes other than that of interest to the study**, which can mask mortality linked to the intervention of interest and thus confound the results.
- **Most illnesses affect quality of life rather than mortality**, and so quality of life improvements due to interventions will not be detected or included in the economic evaluation.

- Third, **mortality requires a study with many patients followed up over a long period of time.**
- People of **different ages and sex have different risks of mortality**, so it is important that patient groups have similar age and sex profiles if they are to be compared.
-

2-Quality of life

- Most modern medicine improves quality rather than quantity of life .
- **Definitions:** it may be helpful to distinguish between the terms quality of life (**QoL**) and health-related quality of life (**HRQoL**). The first term, QoL, is a broad concept with many aspects that measures people's overall perception of their life. QoL includes both health-related and non-health-related aspects of their lives (e.g., economical, political, cultural). HRQoL is the part of a person's overall QoL that **"represents the functional effect of an illness and its consequent therapy upon a patient, as perceived by the patient."**

- A broad definition of health proposed by the World Health Organization more than 50 years ago is: "**Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.**".

Measuring QoL

- Measuring QoL is methodologically complex. There are many functional, social, psychological, cognitive and subjective factors that affect QoL.
- QoL measures can be divided into **generic and disease specific.**
- **A-Disease-specific measures** have been developed for patients with **chronic diseases**; such measures can only be used **to assess patients or treatments within those disease states.**

- Examples of specific areas investigated with disease-specific questionnaires include **nausea and vomiting for cancer treatment**, and **range of movement for arthritis treatment**.

B-Generic measures

- are more useful when looking at groups of patients who may have different illnesses, and can be used to compare outcomes in different patient groups. This looks at:
 - **Physical functioning**
 - **Physical role**
 - **Body pain**
 - **General health**
 - **Vitality**
 - **Social functioning**
 - **Emotional role**
 - **Mental health.**

3-Utility

- (**Utility is a measure of the relative preference for various options**). Utility is the value attached by an individual to a specific level of health or a specific health outcome. Different individuals may attach different values to the same health state. For example, some people may be prepared to tolerate a lot of nausea to allow them to be pain free. Others may prefer to tolerate more pain and reduce the level of nausea. **The important concept here is that utility measurement allows patients to value their health status based on their own preferences.**

- The specific methods used to derive utility are complex and are still under development. They are **preference-based**, which means that they allow individuals to indicate the direction and strength of their preference for a particular health state.
- Attaching values to health states can be carried out using **standard gamble or time trade-off methods, or a rating scale.** The last is rarely used.



www.shutterstock.com · 118412098