



Al-Mustaqbal University
College of Sciences
Intelligent Medical System Department



جامعة المستقبل
AL MUSTAQBAL UNIVERSITY

كلية العلوم
قسم الانظمة الطبية الذكية

Lecture: (6)

Ethics, Legalities, and Medical Multimedia

Subject: Medical Multimedia

Class: Fourth

Lecturer: Dr. Maytham N. Meqdad



Ethics, Legalities, and Medical Multimedia

In an era of smartphones, digital records, and artificial intelligence, the intersection of healthcare and multimedia has never been more complex — or more consequential. This document explores the ethical and legal frameworks that govern how patient images, recordings, and clinical data are handled in modern medical practice, drawing on guidance from the British Medical Association (BMA) and established legal principles.

Part 1: The Bedrock of Medical Ethics and Confidentiality

The foundation of ethical medical practice rests on a set of enduring principles that have guided clinicians for centuries. In an increasingly digital world, understanding these principles — and applying them consistently — is more important than ever. This section explores the core ethical framework and the essential duty of confidence that underpins every doctor-patient relationship.

Core Principles of Medical Ethics

The British Medical Association (BMA) serves as a crucial source of guidance on the legal and ethical issues encountered in everyday clinical practice. Its core ethics guidance is considered essential reading for all doctors and medical students, forming the very foundation upon which sound ethical decision-making is built. Without a firm grasp of these principles, clinicians risk navigating complex situations without the tools they need to act responsibly and compassionately.

Understanding how to recognise and approach situations that raise ethical issues is not merely an academic exercise — it is a practical, daily necessity. From routine consultations to highly sensitive scenarios involving disclosure or consent, the ability to identify an ethical dimension is the first and most critical step towards resolving it appropriately. This skill is cultivated through training, reflection, and ongoing professional development.

Medical ethics does not exist in a vacuum. It is shaped by legal frameworks, professional standards, cultural expectations, and the evolving nature of healthcare



technology. As multimedia tools become ever more prevalent in clinical settings — from telemedicine platforms to AI-assisted diagnostics — the ethical landscape expands accordingly, demanding continuous engagement from all healthcare professionals.

Autonomy

Respecting patients' rights to make informed decisions about their own care and treatment.

Beneficence

Acting in the best interest of the patient, promoting health and wellbeing above all.

Non-Maleficence

Ensuring that clinical actions do not cause unnecessary harm or distress to patients.

Justice

Fair and equitable treatment for all patients, regardless of background or circumstance.

The Duty of Confidence: A Cornerstone of Trust

Confidentiality lies at the very heart of the doctor-patient relationship. It is the invisible contract that makes honest, open communication possible — without it, patients may withhold crucial information out of fear, ultimately compromising the quality of their own care. This principle applies universally to all doctors, regardless of their speciality, seniority, or the setting in which they practise.

Patients have a legitimate and reasonable right to expect that information shared in confidence will remain private. Whether shared verbally in a consultation, captured in written notes, or embedded in a digital image, health information is deeply personal. Its protection is not simply a professional obligation — it is a moral imperative rooted in respect for human dignity and autonomy.



There are, of course, circumstances in which disclosure without consent may be justified — for example, where there is a serious risk to the patient or others, or where the law requires it. However, such exceptions must be carefully considered, clearly justified, and handled with the utmost sensitivity. The presumption should always be in favour of confidentiality, with disclosure reserved for situations where a compelling and proportionate reason exists.

Part 2: Patients Recording Consultations — Consent and Privacy

The proliferation of personal recording devices has introduced a new and nuanced dimension to the ethics of the consultation room. Patients increasingly arrive equipped with smartphones capable of capturing audio and video, raising important questions about consent, privacy, and professional boundaries. This section examines the guidance available to clinicians navigating this evolving territory.

The Rise of Patient Recordings

Patients are increasingly requesting to record their consultations using personal devices, and this trend shows no sign of abating. Driven by a desire to better understand and retain medical information, many patients find recordings an invaluable supplement to written notes or discharge summaries. The volume of information exchanged in a typical consultation can be overwhelming, and a recording offers a reliable means of revisiting it at leisure.

In certain circumstances, permitting recordings can be considered a reasonable adjustment under equality legislation. Patients with cognitive impairments, hearing difficulties, or conditions that affect memory may genuinely struggle to recall or comprehend the information conveyed during a consultation. Denying such patients the ability to record could, in some cases, constitute indirect discrimination under the Equality Act 2010.

The BMA actively encourages consensual recordings, recognising the significant benefits they can offer for both patients and doctors alike. The key word, however, is *consensual*. Open communication between clinician and patient about the purpose and use of any recording is essential, as is a clear mutual understanding of



how the recording will be stored and shared. When handled transparently, recording a consultation need not be a source of anxiety for either party.

Audio Recordings

Patients may use smartphones or dictation devices to capture spoken consultations for later review.

Video Recordings

Video consultations, particularly via telemedicine, may be recorded for personal reference or carer involvement.

Reasonable Adjustments

Recordings may be a legal obligation under equality legislation for patients with cognitive or sensory needs.

Benefits of Consensual Recordings

The advantages of consensual consultation recordings are well-documented and extend to both patients and healthcare providers. For patients, a recording can serve as a vital memory aid — particularly for those receiving complex diagnoses, treatment plans, or information delivered at a time of significant emotional distress. In such moments, the capacity to absorb and retain information is naturally diminished, and a recording provides an invaluable safety net.

Family members and carers play an increasingly significant role in healthcare decision-making, and recordings can help bridge the information gap for those who were unable to attend a consultation in person. For patients living with memory loss or cognitive impairment, the ability to replay a consultation may be the difference between informed participation in their own care and complete reliance on others to interpret and relay clinical advice.

For doctors, a consensual recording can provide an accurate and unambiguous record of what was communicated, potentially reducing misunderstandings and complaints. The **Cumberlege Report, 'First Do No Harm'**, went a step further, formally recommending the consensual recording of consultations and their storage



as part of the official medical record — a landmark endorsement of the practice at the highest level of policy review.

For Doctors

- Provides a clear record of what was communicated
- Reduces potential for misunderstandings
- Supports transparency and accountability
- May reduce risk of complaints and disputes
- Endorsed by the Cumberlege Report ('First Do No Harm')

For Patients

- Aids memory of medical advice given
- Provides a record when distressed or overwhelmed
- Allows time to process complex information
- Supports family and carer involvement
- Assists those with memory loss or cognitive impairment

Covert Recordings: A Legal and Ethical Minefield

Not all patient recordings are made with the knowledge or consent of the clinician. Covert recording — the capturing of audio or video without the other party's awareness — presents a far more complex ethical and legal picture. Doctors' common law privacy rights may be engaged when patients make such recordings, particularly where sensitive personal or professional information is captured without warning.

It is important to note that a covert recording is not, in itself, a breach of patient confidentiality: the information recorded is, after all, the patient's own health information. However, this does not mean such recordings are without ethical significance. They may be indicative of a breakdown in trust, and their existence — particularly if subsequently shared with third parties — can have profound



implications for the doctor-patient relationship and the broader clinical environment.

In terms of data protection law, covert recordings made entirely for personal reasons are unlikely to engage the Data Protection Act, as the domestic purposes exemption typically applies. However, if a recording is shared beyond personal use — for instance, posted online or submitted as evidence — the legal position may change significantly. Clinicians who discover that they have been recorded without consent should seek guidance from their medical defence organisation and consider whether the matter warrants a formal response.

Privacy Rights

Doctors' common law privacy rights may be engaged by covert audio or visual recordings made without consent.

Confidentiality

Covert recordings are not a breach of patient confidentiality, as the content is the patient's own information.

Data Protection

Recordings for purely personal use are unlikely to engage the Data Protection Act, but sharing changes the position.



Part 3: Managing Intimate Images Sensitivity and Evidence

Among the most sensitive areas of medical multimedia is the management of intimate images obtained during forensic medical examinations and clinical assessments. These images — whether photographic, digital, or video — occupy a unique and challenging position: they are simultaneously deeply private and potentially critical to the pursuit of justice. This section examines the best practice frameworks that govern their handling

Best Practice for Intimate Images

Robust guidance exists for the best practice management of intimate images obtained during forensic medical examinations or other clinical assessments. These images, which may include photographs, digital captures, or video footage, serve a dual purpose: they are clinical records and potential evidence. The weight of responsibility carried by those who obtain, store, and handle such images cannot be overstated.

The primary objective of any framework governing intimate images is twofold: to ensure that the privacy and dignity of the subjects are respected at all times, and to eliminate, as far as possible, the risk of improper distribution or unauthorised access. These objectives are not in tension — rather, they reinforce one another. Robust privacy protections build the trust that makes it possible for patients to consent to examinations that may feel intrusive but are clinically and legally necessary.

The guidance in this area applies across criminal, family, and civil justice systems, reflecting the breadth of contexts in which clinical images may ultimately be required. Forensic physicians, sexual assault referral centre (SARC) staff, and other clinicians involved in medico-legal work must be thoroughly familiar with the procedures governing image acquisition, storage, and disclosure. Any deviation from established protocols risks both patient harm and the admissibility of evidence.



Photographic: Still images captured during clinical or forensic examinations requiring strict access controls.

Digital: Digitally stored clinical images requiring encryption, secure servers, and audit trails.

Video: Moving image recordings requiring the highest level of security and chain of custody documentation.

Reassurance and Consent

Clear procedures and robust frameworks are crucial not only for the practical management of intimate images, but also for the psychological wellbeing of the patients involved. Individuals who have experienced trauma — particularly sexual assault or domestic violence — are often in an acutely vulnerable state. Knowing that the images taken during their examination will be handled with rigorous care and absolute confidentiality can make a profound difference to their willingness to engage with the process.

This reassurance is not merely compassionate — it is operationally essential. Obtaining genuinely informed consent for the taking of intimate images depends on the patient understanding and trusting the systems in place to protect them. When patients are confident that their images will not be misused, they are more likely to provide consent, and the resulting images can provide clearer, more comprehensive evidence for the court. Consent obtained under duress or without adequate explanation is ethically invalid and legally vulnerable.

The guidance in this area applies across the full spectrum of justice systems — criminal, family, and civil — acknowledging that intimate images taken in a clinical context may eventually be scrutinised in very different legal arenas. Practitioners must therefore ensure that their documentation of consent, the conditions of storage, and the chain of custody are sufficiently rigorous to withstand scrutiny in any of these settings. This demands ongoing training, clear institutional policies, and a culture of accountability.



Part 4: Patient Images in Teaching, Training, and Research

Patient images are not confined to individual clinical records or forensic files — they play a vital and growing role in medical education, professional training, and clinical research. Understanding the ethical obligations that govern their use in these wider contexts is essential for every healthcare professional who contributes to or benefits from academic and educational medicine.

The Wider Use of Patient Images

Patient images are routinely used for teaching, training, and clinical research, underpinning the continuous improvement of medical knowledge and clinical standards. From radiology teaching files to pathology atlases and surgical training videos, visual material derived from patient care forms the backbone of medical education at every level. The potential to improve patient care through enhanced diagnosis, better follow-up practices, and richer data analysis is immense — and growing.

The advent of artificial intelligence and machine learning has further elevated the importance of imaging data. AI algorithms trained on large datasets of annotated clinical images are already demonstrating diagnostic accuracy comparable to, and in some cases exceeding, that of experienced clinicians. The development of these tools depends critically on access to high-quality, well-curated imaging data — making the ethical governance of such data a matter of both immediate clinical and long-term societal significance.

Sharing patient images is also crucial for mandatory learning and discrepancy processes within healthcare organisations. When cases are reviewed for quality assurance, audit, or incident investigation, imaging data often plays a central evidentiary role. The responsible sharing of this material — within clearly defined governance frameworks — contributes to the evidence base regarding safe practice and helps identify systemic issues before they cause harm to future patients.



Teaching & Training: Clinical images form the backbone of medical education, from undergraduate lectures to specialist postgraduate training programmes.

AI & Machine Learning: Large annotated imaging datasets are essential for developing AI diagnostic tools that can transform clinical practice.

Clinical Research: Research using patient imaging data drives innovation in diagnosis, treatment, and long-term patient outcome improvement.

Anonymizations and De-identification

Ensuring that images are properly de-identified before use in teaching, research, or publication is one of the most fundamental responsibilities of any clinician or researcher working with patient data. De-identification involves the removal or obscuring of all information that could reasonably be used to identify an individual — including not only names and dates of birth, but also distinctive physical features, unique clinical presentations, and metadata embedded within digital files.

There is an inherent tension in this process, however. Anonymised data, while essential for protecting patient privacy, has limited research value when stripped entirely of accompanying clinical context. A chest radiograph without any clinical information may be aesthetically instructive but scientifically constrained. The challenge — and the art — lies in achieving a level of de-identification that adequately protects the patient whilst preserving enough clinical detail to make the data genuinely useful. Large-scale clinical datasets, carefully governed, can drive innovative research and lead to measurably improved patient outcomes.

Patients themselves are often aware of and broadly supportive of the wider benefits of data sharing for research, provided they are confident that their privacy is being respected. Transparency about how data will be used, who will have access, and what safeguards are in place goes a long way towards building and maintaining public trust. Healthcare institutions that invest in robust data governance frameworks are better positioned to secure both patient consent and public confidence — two essential prerequisites for sustainable, ethical research.



De-identification Checklist

- Remove names, dates of birth, and NHS numbers
- Obscure distinguishing physical features
- Strip metadata from digital image files
- Review for unique clinical identifiers
- Document the de-identification process

Balancing Privacy and Value

Full anonymisation protects privacy but may reduce research utility. Governance frameworks must balance rigorous de-identification with the need to retain clinically meaningful context for valid, reproducible research findings.

Large-scale, well-governed datasets can lead to breakthroughs in diagnosis, treatment, and patient safety — making robust data stewardship a clinical as well as ethical priority.

Upholding Ethical Standards in a Digital Age

The ethical and legal considerations surrounding medical multimedia are both complex and rapidly evolving. From the nuances of patient-initiated consultation recordings to the sensitive management of forensic images and the governance of AI training datasets, healthcare professionals today operate in an environment where the intersection of technology, law, and ethics demands constant attention and informed judgement.

Adherence to core ethical principles — autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice — remains the non-negotiable foundation of all clinical practice. But these principles must now be applied to scenarios that previous generations of clinicians could scarcely have imagined. Robust consent processes, transparent communication, and clear institutional guidelines for image management are not bureaucratic niceties; they are essential safeguards for patients, clinicians, and the integrity of the healthcare system as a whole.

Healthcare professionals must remain vigilant in protecting patient confidentiality and privacy even as they embrace the extraordinary benefits that multimedia technology brings to diagnosis, education, and research. This is not a contradiction — it is a professional calling. The ability to harness the power of modern imaging, data, and communication tools whilst holding fast to the enduring values of medicine is what defines the ethical clinician in the digital age. The guidance



Al-Mustaqbal University
College of Sciences
Intelligent Medical System Department

reviewed in this document provides a framework; wisdom, care, and continuous reflection provide the rest.

Core Ethics

Ground every decision in the four pillars of medical ethics, revisiting them as technology evolves.

Robust Consent

Ensure all consent processes are transparent, informed, and appropriately documented.

Privacy First

Treat patient confidentiality as a non-negotiable baseline, not a variable to be traded off.

Continuous Learning

Stay current with evolving BMA guidance, legislation, and best practice in medical multimedia.



References

- [1] J. Pottle, "Virtual reality and the transformation of medical education," *Future Healthcare Journal*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 181–185, 2019.
- [2] H. Kang, J. Lee, and S. Kim, "Applications of multimedia technology in medical education," *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 1–14, 2020.
- [3] C. Moro, Z. Štromberga, A. Raikos, and A. Stirling, "The effectiveness of virtual and augmented reality in medical education: A meta-analysis," *Medical Education*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 293–305, 2021.
- [4] P. Ruisoto and J. A. Juanes, "3D visualization and anatomical understanding in medical education," *Anatomical Sciences Education*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 494–502, 2020.
- [5] L. Zhang, Y. Zhou, and H. Liu, "Three-dimensional visualization techniques in anatomy education: A systematic review," *BMC Medical Education*, vol. 22, p. 512, 2022.
- [6] B. Preim and S. Saalfeld, "A survey of virtual human anatomy education systems," *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 28–43, 2018.
- [7] J. Radianti, T. A. Majchrzak, J. Fromm, and I. Wohlgenannt, "A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education," *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 25, pp. 287–315, 2020.
- [8] A. Bernardo, "Virtual reality and simulation in neurosurgical training," *World Neurosurgery*, vol. 145, pp. 537–544, 2021.
- [9] F. Bork et al., "Augmented reality in medical training and education," *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 12–21, 2022.
- [10] R. R. Shamir et al., "Visualization and analysis of medical imaging data," *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 3, pp. 566–576, 2019.
- [11] D. Mitsouras et al., "Medical 3D printing for the radiologist," *Radiographics*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 987–1002, 2020.
- [12] S. Jansen, M. Klein, and T. Schultz, "Open-source tools for medical visualization and simulation," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 208, p. 106262, 2021.
- [13] J. Bennett and T. Checkel, "Blender for scientific and medical visualization," *Journal of Open Source Software*, vol. 5, no. 47, p. 2158, 2020.